

TECHNICAL LIBRARY
U. S. ARMY
NATICK LABORATORIES
NATICK, MASS.

A Gas Chromatographic Method for Continuous Accelerated Study of O₂ Uptake in Fats¹

THERE ARE MANY METHODS for determining oxidation of fats in foods by accelerating conditions and determining some of the reaction products as a function of time. Among these are the manometric techniques which utilize oxygen absorption as a measure of stability. These methods involve measuring changes in pressure or volume of gas in contact with the sample. A major defect of the manometric procedures is that only net pressure or volume changes are measured. Any gas, such as CO₂, produced by the oxidative reaction may cause an apparent reversal of oxygen uptake unless the vessel contains a CO₂ absorbent (1). A direct determination of the composition of the gases above the autoxidized fat should, therefore, provide the most direct measurement of the rate of oxidation of the sample, in addition to any changes in the composition of the gas phase. Gas chromatography provides a convenient, rapid and accurate method for this determination (2,3).

The apparatus used in this method is the Fisher Gas Partitioner, Model 25M, with a Sargent Recorder, Model SR. Helium carrier gas flow rate was 80 ml/min. The gas sample passes successively through a drying tube containing Drierite, a first column of 30% hexamethyl phosphoramide on 60-80 mesh column pack which separates CO₂ and a second column containing Molecular Sieve 13X. Depending upon the oxygen content, the volume of gas sample ranges from 0.01-0.1 ml. The order of elution is CO₂, O₂, N₂, CH₄ and CO. A complete determination of these gases may be carried out in 3-5 min. If the analysis is limited to CO₂, O₂ and N₂, the time is reduced to 1-2 min.

The simplest reaction vessel is a reagent bottle 250-300 cc capacity with a 3 cm O.D. neck closed with a serum bottle stopper. The flaps of the stopper may be further secured around the bottle neck by a rubber band when pressures more than one atmosphere develop in the headspace gases.

Experimental results have shown that at least 25 samples of gas may be withdrawn with a thin needle without leakage in the system. A more widely useful reaction vessel, especially for samples too large to

¹ Publication TP-22 of the US Army Natick (Mass.) Laboratories.

TABLE I
Oxidation Rates

Safflower oil	Surface	Oxidation ^a time, hr
Refined	Paper	185
Refined	Glass	305
Stripped	Paper	7
Stripped	Glass	100

^a Time for consumption of one-half of the available oxygen.

pass the neck of the flask can be made from any can or jar. A hole $\frac{1}{8}$ in. in diameter is punched in the lid, and a disc of gum rubber or septum seal $\frac{1}{2}$ in. in diameter (No. 46887 Beckman Cat. 2500) is attached to the top or the innerside with RTV silicone rubber adhesive (GE Silicone Products, Waterford, N.Y.).

The oxidation rates of refined safflower oil and the oil stripped of its natural antioxidants (General Biochemicals) were measured. The oils were applied dropwise on 15 cm circles of No. 42 Whatman filter paper until one gram was added. The folded filter papers were placed in 250 ml reagent bottles. Controls consisted of one gram of each oil placed directly in bottles. The bottles were then closed with the rubber serum stoppers described, and were incubated at 50C. Oxygen was determined periodically until half of the available O₂ was used. Table I shows the time in hours.

During the past three years we have used this method in investigating the effects of antioxidants and prooxidants in autoxidation of dehydrated military rations and model food systems in accelerated storage. Oxygen uptake and changes in gas composition curves may be completed in a few hours or several days, depending on storage temperature.

S. J. BISHOV, A. S. HENICK
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories
Natick, Massachusetts

REFERENCES

1. Umbreit, W. W., R. H. Burris and J. F. Stauffer, "Manometric Techniques and Tissue Metabolism." Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis, Minn., 1949, p. 221.
2. Bishov, S. J., A. S. Henick and R. B. Koch, Food Res. 25, 174-178 (1960).
3. Stahl, W. H., W. A. Voelker and J. H. Sullivan, Food Technol. 14, 14-19 (1964).

[Received February 18, 1966]